



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/211,677	12/14/1998	HYUN CHANG LEE	8733D-7153	9588
30827	7590 05/02/2003			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	カーフ
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	-/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sy

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/211,677	LEE, HYUN CHANG			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second s	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>27-55</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Λ				
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or / b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PT %- 449 Haper No(s)	.			
10. Other:					
SUPE	RICHARD HJERPE RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

TECHNOLOGY CLATER 2600 Advisory Action





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 56-81 raise new issue "a first gate voltage reducing a voltage level substantially to a threshold voltage | level | le